

BIO-EFFICACY OF TEBUCONAZOLE 060 FS (RAXIL 060 FS) AS SEED TREATMENT AGAINST KARNAL BUNT, LOOSE SMUT AND FLAG SMUT OF WHEAT

BIJENDER KUMAR

Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture,

G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Udham Singh Nagar, Pantnagar - 263 145, Uttarakhand, INDIA e-mail: bij1005@yahoo.co.in

KEYWORDS

Wheat Karnal bunt loose smut Flag smut Tebuconazole Carboxin Carbendazim

Received on : 29.12.2017

Accepted on : 28.02.2018

*Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) belonging to family Poaceae is one of the most widely cultivated staple food crops among the cereals in India and world. It is contributing about 30% to the food basket of the country. To feed the ever growing population, the country's wheat requirement by 2030 has been estimated to be 100 million metric tons. To achieve this goal, the wheat production has to be increased at the rate of < 1mmt per annum (Swati et al., 2014). However, wheat production is constrained by a number of diseases. Among these, Karnal bunt [Tilletia indica (Mitra) = (Neovossia indica) (Mitra) Mundkar], loose smut (Ustilago segetum (Pers.) Rostr. var. tritici), and flag smut (Urocystis agropyri (Preuss.) Shroeter) are important seed-borne diseases of wheat in cooler parts of India. Seed-borne pathogens of wheat are responsible for reduction in yield up to 15-19 per cent if untreated seeds are grown in the field (Wiese, 1984 and Bhoyar et al., 2014). These diseases are known to cause significant losses in grain yield especially where farmers use their own saved seeds of old susceptible cultivars without applying any seed treatment before sowing.

Since, most of the present day cultivars lack resistance to these diseases, the application of chemicals to seed is safest, cheapest and could be effective means of controlling most seed-borne pathogens. The use of fungicides as seed treatment is the most widely followed disease control practice used in

ABSTRACT

A new molecule tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) was evaluated against Karnal bunt, loose smut and flag smut diseases of wheat. The results revealed that seed treatment with tebuconazole 060 FS @ 0.333/ kg seed was found to be the best, recorded lowest incidence of loose smut (0.03% smutted tillers and 0.09% infected plants) and highest disease control (96.94 per cent on tiller basis and 94.67 per cent on plant basis). It also provided highest (13.42 per cent) increase in grain yield in case of variety UP 2338 and 8.88 per cent in case of variety HD 2329. The test fungicide tebuconazole 060FS @ 0.333ml/kg seed also improved field emergence (89.73 as compared to 88.15 per cent in control). Moreover, no phytotoxicity symptoms were observed due to any fungicides treatments. None of the fungicidal seed treatment was effective in managing the Karnal bunt. However, no inference can be drawn for flag smut as the disease did not occur during both the seasons. Hence, seed treatment with tebuconazole 060 FS @ 0.333 ml/kg seed can be recommended as an alternate molecule to existing fungicides for effective management of loose smut diseases of wheat.

all crops (Nene and Thapliyal, 1979 and Sharvelle, 1979). Carboxins were among the first systemic fungicides (von Schmeling and Kulka, 1966), marketed as 'Vitavax' and 'Plantvax' (Edgington et al., 1966) for wheat seed treatment. The success of carboxins led to the introduction of several carboxamide seed dressings including fenfuram (Martin and Edgington, 1980). Since the late 1970s, a number of broadspectrum systemic fungicides, including the triazoles, triadimenol, flutriafol and tebuconazole have been introduced as seed treatments for cereal diseases. These chemicals are in use for the last 3 decades. Unfortunately, the continuous use of carboxins and fenfuram led to the development of resistance in loose smut on barley (Leroux and Berthier, 1988). Continuous use of systemic fungicides for long period of time may pose threat of development of resistance in pathogens. Thus products may become less effective - or even useless for controlling resistant pathogens and pests. Identification of new molecules that are effective against target pathogen and rotating them with other available fungicides can be one of the methods to manage the pesticide resistance. With this objective the present study was undertaken to screen newer formulation and chemical against these diseases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar to test the efficacy of tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060FS) as seed treatment against Karnal bunt, loose smut and flag smut in wheat during *Rabi* 2013-14 and 2014-15 crop seasons. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications and seven treatments. Seeds were pretreated with required quantity of chemicals as per the treatment schedule (Table 1) using slurry method (Sharma et *al.*, 2015). Treated seeds were further dried under shade before sowing in the field. Varieties UP 2338 (for loose and flag smuts) and HD 2329 (for Karnal bunt) were sown on 10th Dec, 2013 and 3rd Dec 2014 having 9 rows of 5 meters length at 23 cms row to row distance. The crop was raised as per the recommended agronomic practices. The treatment comprised as follows.

The observations on field emergence and incidence of loose smut and flag smut were recorded at the complete emergence of ears and that of Karnal bunt after threshing. Incidence of loose smut and flag smut was recorded on plant and tiller basis as follows:

PDI = (No. of infected plants or tillers / total no. of plants or tillers) X 100

In order to record the incidence of Karnal bunt, a working sample of 2000 seeds was obtained from each replication and was visually examined for Karnal bunt infection. Karnal bunt incidence was calculated using the following formula (Sharma et *al.*, 2007):

Per cent Karnal bunt incidence = (No. of infected seeds/2000) X 100

Per cent increase in yield and avoidable yield loss (AYL) due to loose smut and Karnal bunt were calculated separately using grain yield data from the management trials using following formula:

$AYL = [(Yp-Yu)/Yp] \times 100$

Where, Yp = Yield under protected condition and Yu = Yield under unprotected condition (Kumar, 2011, 2013 and Nagaraja et *al.*, 2012).

Evaluation of phytotoxicity of tebuconazole 060 FS (W/V) (Raxil 060 FS) in wheat

The test fungicide Raxil 060FS was applied as seed treatment at the concentration of 0.333, 0.666 and 1.332ml/kg seed and compared with untreated control. Variety UP 2338 was used for evaluation of phytotoxicity. Ten plants were randomly selected and phytotoxicity symptoms (leaf chlorosis, leaf tip burning, leaf necrosis, leaf epinasty, leaf hyponasty, vein clearing, wilting and resetting) were recorded at 10, 20 and 30 days after germination as per CIB guidelines using a rating scale of 0-10 (Muthukumar and Udhayakumar, 2015).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) on field emergence of wheat.

The data presented in table 2 revealed that field emergence of wheat ranged from 88.34 to 93.36 per cent during 2013-14 and 87.82 to 92.87 per cent during 2014-15 crop seasons. During both the years, maximum field emergence (93.36 and 92.87 per cent) was recorded with carbendazim 50% WP @

Tab	ble 1: Details of tl	Table 1: Details of the fungicides used as seed treatment against Karnal bunt, loose smut and flag smut of wheat.	ut and flag smu	t of wheat.			
S. N	S. No. Chemical	Chemical name	Trade name	Formulation	Formulation Dosage/kg seed g.a.i.	Forml. (g./ml.)	Source of supply
-	Untreated control						
2	Tebuconazole	(RS)- 1-(4-Chlorophenyl)- 4,4-dimethyl-3-(1H, 1,2,4-triazol-1-ylmethyl) pentan- 3-ol	Raxil 060 FS	60% FS	0.010	0.167	Syngenta India Itd.
ĉ	Tebuconazole	(RS)- 1-(4-Chlorophenyl)- 4,4-dimethyl-3-(1H, 1,2,4-triazol-1-ylmethyl) pentan- 3-ol		60% FS	0.015	0.250	
4	Tebuconazole	(RS)- 1-(4-Chlorophenyl)- 4,4-dimethyl-3-(1H, 1,2,4-triazol-1-ylmethyl) pentan- 3-ol		60% FS	0.020	0.333	
5	Tebuconazole	(RS)- 1-(4-Chlorophenyl)- 4,4-dimethyl-3-(1H, 1,2,4-triazol-1-ylmethyl) pentan- 3-ol	Raxil 2 DS	2% DS	0.020	1.000	Bayer Crop Science Ltd. Mumbai
9	Carboxin	5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-N-phenyl-1,4-oxathiin-3-carbamil	Vitavax	75% WP	1.500	2.000	Uniroyal Chemical Company Itd. Middlebury, Connecticut.
~	Carbendazim	Methyl 1H-benzimidazol-2-ylcarbamate	Bavistin	50% WP	1.000	2.000	BASF India Ltd., Mumbai

Table 2: Effect of tebuconazole 060 FS w/v (Raxil 060 FS) on field emergence of	of wheat
---	----------

	Treatment	Field emerge 2013-14	ence (%) 2014-15	Pooled mean	Increase in emergence (%)		
T1	Untreated control	88.47	87.82	88.15	0.1101.801.000 (1.1)		
					-		
T2	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.167ml/kg seed	88.34	87.88	88.11	-0.05		
T3	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.25ml/kg seed	89.65	89.70	89.68	1.74		
T4	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/kg seed	89.63	89.83	89.73	1.79		
T5	Tebuconazole 2% DS (Raxil 2 DS) @ 1g/ kg seed	88.49	88.16	88.33	0.20		
T6	Carboxin 75% WP@ 2g/kg seed	90.43	90.09	90.26	2.39		
T7	Carbendazim 50% WP @ 2g/kg seed	93.36	92.87	93.12	5.64		
	CD at 5%	3.87	3.27				

Table 3: Effect of tebuconazole 060 FSw/v (Raxil 060 FS) against Karnal bunt of wheat.

	Treatment	Incidence of k		
		2013-14	2014-15	Pooled mean
T1	Untreated control	0.17	0.23	0.20
T2	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.167ml/kg seed	0.18	0.22	0.20
Т3	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.25ml/kg seed	0.15	0.17	0.16
T4	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/kg seed	0.18	0.15	0.17
T5	Tebuconazole 2% DS (Raxil 2 DS) @ 1g/ kg seed	0.10	0.15	0.13
T6	Carboxin 75% WP@ 2g/kg seed	0.18	0.20	0.19
Τ7	Carbendazim 50% WP @ 2g/kg seed	0.15	0.23	0.22
	CD at 5%	0.12	0.21	

2 g/kg seed, which was significantly at par with treatments wherein seeds were treated with the test fungicide tebuconazole 060 FS @ 0.25ml/kg seed and 0.333 ml/kg seed. As evident from the results that all the treatments except seed treatment with tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.167ml/ kg seed increased the field emergence, however, maximum increase in field emergence (5.64%) was recorded when seeds were treated with carbendazim 50% WP @ 2g/kg seed, followed by carboxin 75% WP (@2g/kg), tebuconazole 060FS (@ 0.333ml/kg) and tebuconazole 060FS (@ 0.25ml/kg). Present findings are in accordance with the work of Shivankar et al. (2000) and Rangwala et al. (2013) who reported that seed treatment with carbendazim significantly increased germination of wheat. However, no record is available in the literature on the effect of tebuconazole 060 FS on germination/ field emergence of wheat seeds. Therefore, it should be considered as the first record.

Evaluation of tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) against Karnal bunt (*Tilletia indica*) of wheat

The results presented in table 3 revealed that the incidence of Karnal bunt ranged from 0.10 to 0.18 per cent in first season (2013-14) and 0.15 to 0.23 per cent in second season (2014-15), however, no significant difference was observed among the treatments. This suggests that none of the fungicidal seed treatment is effective in controlling the Karnal bunt disease under field conditions. This may be because the disease is seed, soil-borne and the infection occurs at the time of flowering (Mitra, 1931 and Mundakar, 1943). Only the allantoid types of secondary sporidia are the real incitant of Karnal bunt in nature (Dhaliwal and Singh, 1988). Warham *et al.* (1989) and Gill *et al.* (1993) have reported chemical seed treatments to be ineffective in killing the teliospores of *T. indica* on seeds of wheat, with the exception of mercurial compounds which are banned in most countries. Even if fungicides are effective, the

effect of seed treatment alone with fungicides may not persist until anthesis, the most vulnerable stage for seed infection by *T. indica* (Dhaliwal and Singh, 1988). Bleach, in combination with heat treatment, is effective (Smilanick *et al.*, 1989). However, foliar sprays of propiconazole, tebuconazole (Folicur), hexaconzole (contaf), thifluzamide etc. were shown to be effective against natural infection in India (Singh *et al.*, 1989, Gill *et al.*, 1993, Singh *et al.*, 1998). The test fungicide needs to be evaluated through spray trials rather than seed treatment alone.

Evaluation of tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) against loose smut (*Ustilago segetum* var. *tritici*) of wheat

The data presented in table 4 revealed that during first season (2013-14) the incidence of loose smut ranged from 0.04 to 1.03 per cent on tillers basis and 0.12 to 1.82 per cent on plant basis. All the treatments were significantly effective in managing the loose smut disease as compared to control. During the first season trial, the lowest incidence of smutted tillers (0.04%) and infected plants (0.12%) were recorded by tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/kg seed (T₄) with 96.94 and 94.67 per cent control of smutted tillers and infected plants, respectively. However, it was significantly at par with the other doses (@ 0.167ml (T₂) and 0.25ml/kg seed (T₄)) of the same fungicide.

In the second season trial also, similar results were obtained with different treatments (Table 4). Seed treatment with tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/kg seed (T_4) provided maximum loose smut control, recorded 98.92 per cent control on tiller basis and 96.77 per cent control on plant basis which was significantly at par with the other doses (@ 0.167ml (T_2) and 0.25ml/kg seed (T_3)) of tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS).

The results from first and second season trials clearly revealed that seed treatment with tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS)

Table 4:	Table 4: Effect of tebuconazole 060 FS w/v (Raxil 060 FS).) against lo	against loose smut of wheat	of wheat.									
	Treatment	Smutted Tille	rs (%) 2014-15	Pooled mean	Disease Control (%)	ntrol (%) 2014-15	Pooled mear	Infected plants (%) Pooled mean 2013-14 2014-	nts(%) 2014_14	nts (%) 2014-14 - Pooled mean	Disease Control (%)	ntrol (%) 2014-15	Pooled mean
T1	Intreated control	1.03		0.98				1.87	155	1.69			-
T2	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.167ml/kg seed	0.08	0.04	0.06	92.23	95.70	93.88	0.30	0.20	0.25	83.52	87.10	85.21
T3	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.25ml/kg seed	0.06	0.03	0.05	94.17	96.77	94.90	0.21	0.14	0.18	88.46	90.97	89.35
T4	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/kg seed	0.04	0.01	0.03	96.12	98.92	96.94	0.12	0.05	0.09	93.41	96.77	94.67
T5	Tebuconazole 2% DS (Raxil 2 DS) @ 1g/kg seed	0.37	0.33	0.35	64.08	64.52	64.29	0.85	0.75	0.80	53.30	51.61	52.66
T6	Carboxin 75% WP@ 2g/kg seed	0.61	0.57	0.59	40.78	38.71	39.80	1.15	1.05	1.10	36.81	32.26	34.91
17	Carbendazim 50% WP @ 2g/kg seed	0.53	0.49	0.51	48.54	47.31	47.96	1.11	1.01	1.06	39.01	34.84	37.28
	CD at 5%	0.14	0.12					0.44	0.42				

@ 0.333ml/kg seed (T_) recorded the lowest incidence of loose smut which is at par with other doses (@ 0.167ml (T_a) and 0.25 ml/kg seed (T₂)) of the same fungicide. This is in agreement with the findings of Singh and Singh (2013) who reported that seed treatment with tebuconazole (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333 g/kg seed resulted in complete control of loose smut. Effective control of loose smut through seed treatment with Carboxin has been reported earlier by Chatrath et al. (1969, 1976), Nene et al. (1971), Goel et al. (2001), Deepshikha (2005), Singh and Singh (2013). The efficiency of Raxil 2DS (tebuconazole 2DS) in controlling loose smut of wheat is corroborated by the findings of Sinha and Singh (1993), Singh (1997), Tewari et al. (1999), Goel et al. (2001), Deepshikha (2005). Similarly, Tewari et al. (1999) and Deepshikha (2005) reported that seed treatment with Vitavax 200 WP @ 2.5 g/kg seed provided effective control of loose smut of wheat. Efficacy of Carbendazim 50 WP as seed treatment @ 2.5 g/kg seed was advocated by several workers (Arora et al., 1990; Sirvastava et al., 1991; Bhardwarj and Thakur, 1992; Paul, 1996; Sinha and Singh, 1996; Deepshikha, 2005). The above results lend support to the present findings.

Evaluation of tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) against Flag smut (*Urocystis agropyri*) of wheat.

The data pertinent to flag smut incidence are presented in table 5. No inference can be drawn as symptoms of the disease were not noticed till harvesting during both the crop seasons (2013-14 and 2014-15). Flag smut infection is influenced to a considerable extent by environmental factors including soil moisture, soil temperature, soil pH as well as cultural practices such as planting date, sowing depth, host variety and its stage of development. Sowing in relatively dry soil favours infection whereas sowing in moist soil is detrimental to disease development (Ram and Singh, 2004). Since, the experimental site Pantnagar is situated in tarai region of Uttarakhand which may not provide conditions favourable for disease development. Although its occurrence in the country is not widespread due to absence of favourable environmental conditions, its importance cannot be underestimated since, unlike most smut, it is long persisting and if environmental conditions are favourable and susceptible varieties are grown the disease may assume serious form.

Effect of tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) on grain yield of wheat.

Since, the effect of fungicides against loose and flag smuts was evaluated using variety UP 2338 and against Karnal bunt using HD 2329, therefore, the effect of tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060FS) on grain yield was observed separately on these two wheat varieties (table 6). Pooled analysis of the data revealed that in case of variety UP 2338, the yield varied from 42.84 to 49.47g/ha and that of HD 2329 ranged from 54.75 to 59.62 being lowest in untreated control and highest in seed treatment with tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/kg seed. In case of variety UP 2338, seed treatment with tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/kg seed (T₄) provided highest (49.47q/ha) grain yield, per cent increase in yield (13.42%) and avoidable loss (13.42%) in yield, however, it was significantly at par with seed treatment with Carboxin 75% WP @ 2g/kg seed (T₆). The other treatments did not differ significantly from untreated control. In case of variety HD 2329,

Table 5: Effect of tebuconazole 060 FSw/v (Raxil 060 FS) against Flag smut of wheat.

Treatment	Smutted Tillers (%)	Infected	plants (%)				
		2013-14	2014-15	Pooled mean	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled mean
T1	Untreated control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
T2	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.167ml/kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Т3	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.25ml/kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Τ4	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
T5	Tebuconazole 2% DS (Raxil 2 DS) @ 1g/ kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Τ6	Carboxin 75% WP@ 2g/kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Τ7	Carbendazim 50% WP @ 2g/kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	CD at 5%	NS	NS		NS	NS	

Table 6: Effect of tebuconazole 060 FS w/v (Raxil 060 FS) on grain yield of wheat during 2013-14 and 2014-15 crop seasons

	atment d (α/ha)	UP 2338		Pooled	Increase in	AYL	Yield(q/ha) Increase ir		HD 2329		
		2013-14	2014-15		yield (%)	(%)	yield (%)		2013-14	2014-15	Pooled
											mean
T1	Untreated control	43.16	42.51	42.84	-	-	54.91	54.59	54.75	-	-
T2	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.167ml/kg seed	45.09	43.99	44.54	3.84	4.00	55.88	55.56	55.72	1.73	1.77
T3	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.25ml/kg seed	47.99	46.38	47.19	9.23	10.16	57.65	56.68	57.17	4.22	4.41
T4	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/kg seed	49.60	49.34	49.47	13.42	15.50	59.90	59.32	59.62	8.16	8.88
T5	Tebuconazole 2% DS (Raxil 2 DS) @ 1g/ kg seed	47.02	46.15	46.59	8.06	8.77	58.70	57.97	58.34	6.14	6.54
T6	Carboxin 75% WP@ 2g/kg seed	49.28	48.70	48.99	12.57	14.37	59.32	58.70	59.01	7.22	7.78
T7	Carbendazim 50% WP @ 2g/kg seed	46.38	46.54	46.46	7.81	8.47	57.97	57.49	57.73	5.16	5.44
	CD at 5%	4.85	1.03	5.78			3.02	3.94	2.04		

Table 7: Evaluation of phytotoxicity of tebuconazole 060 FS w/v (Raxil 060 FS) on wheat during 2013-14 and 2014-15 crop seasons.

Days of obse	ervation after germination	Treatment Chlorosis	Phytotoxicity Tip burning	· · ·		Hyponasty	Vein clearing	Wilting	Rosetting
10 th day	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/ kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.666ml/kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 1.332ml/ kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Untreated control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20 th day	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/ kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.666ml/kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 1.332ml/kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Untreated control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30 th day	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/ kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.666ml/kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 1.332ml/kg seed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Untreated control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

* Mean of two seasons

all the treatments except tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 1.67ml/10 kg seed (T_2) increase the yield. However, the highest grain yield (59.62q/ha) and per cent increase in yield (8.16%) and per cent avoidable loss (8.88%) were recorded when seeds were treated with tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) @ 0.333ml/kg seed (T_4), however, it was significantly at par with seed treatment with tebuconazole 2% DS (Raxil 2 DS) @ 1g/kg seed (T_5), Carboxin 75% WP @ 2g/kg seed (T_6) and Carbendazim 50% WP @ 2g/kg seed (T_7). Present findings are in accordance with the work of Singh and Singh (2013), Deepshikha (2005) and Sahi *et al.* (1985) who reported significant increase in grain yield due to seed treatment with tebuconazole 060 FS, Vitavax 75 WP (carboxin) Raxil 2DS (tebuconazole), Vitavax 200 WP (carboxin + thiram).

Evaluation of Phytotoxicity of tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) in wheat.

Tebuconazole 060 FS was evaluated at 3 doses i.e. 0.333,

0.666 and 1.332 ml/kg seed at 10, 20 and 30 days after germination for phytotoxicity symptoms (Table 7). Plants were observed for symptoms of phytotoxicity viz., leaf chlorosis, leaf tip burning, leaf necrosis, leaf epinasty, leaf hyponasty, vein clearing, wilting and rosetting. No phytotoxic symptoms were noticed even at the highest tested dose of 1.332ml/kg seed of tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) during both the crop seasons (2013-14 and 2014-15). There is no phytotoxicity of tebuconazole formulations namely; Orius 060 FS (Tomlin, 2000) and Raxil 060 FS (Singh and Singh, 2013) on wheat plants after seed treatment with this fungicide. However, foliar application of tebuconazole is toxic to plants (phytotoxic) at rates normally required to provide adequate control against fungal diseases (Pederson, 2007). Tebuconazole phytotoxicity has been recorded at higher use rates in many crop species including soybeans, cocoa, winter grass and rock melons (Holderness, 1990 and Vawdrey, 1994). In most of these published cases, symptoms have included obvious death of leaf tissue. However, Pederson (2007) notes that symptoms of tebuconazole phytotoxicity may affect all or only parts of the plant (including roots), and can include only a subtle growth reduction. The phytotoxic effects of tebuconazole appear to be exacerbated when applied to plants under drought stress (Pederson 2007).

Based on the results of two crop seasons it can be concluded that the test fungicide tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) (@ 0.333 ml/kg seed) is found effective in improving the field emergence, managing loose smut and improving the grain yield. There were no phytotoxic symptoms observed on the plants due to any tebuconazole 060 FS (Raxil 060 FS) treatments. None of the fungicidal seed treatment was effective in managing the Karnal bunt. However, no inference can be drawn for flag smut as the disease did not occur during both the seasons. Hence, seed treatment with tebuconazole 060 FS @ 0.333 ml/kg seed can be recommended as an alternate molecule to existing fungicides for effective management of loose smut diseases of wheat.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to the Bayer Crop Science limited for the financial assistance and the University for providing necessary field facilities during the course of the investigation.

REFERENCES

Arora, P. C., Gupta, A., Hooda, I. and Singh, S. 1990. Effect of fungicidal seed treatment on the incidence of loose smut of wheat. *Res. & Dev. Rept.* **7**(1): 150-153.

Bhardwaj, C. L. and Thakur, D. R. 1992. Relationship between fungicidal control of loose smut of wheat and yield loss. *Indian Phytopath.* **45(3)**: 364-366.

Bhoyar, P. R., Chandankar, V. D., Bagde, V. L. Borkar, Dipali B. and Sonone, J. S.. 2014. Studies on seed borne mycoflora and effect of bioagents and fungicides on wheat seed health. *The Bioscan.* 9(3): 1285-1289.

Chatrath, M. S., Renfro, B. L., Nene, Y. L., Grover, R. K., Roy, M. K., Singh, D. V. and Gandhi, S. M. 1969. Control of loose Smut of wheat with carboxin and benomyl. *Indian Phytopath.* 22: 183-187.

Chatrath, M. S., Thomas, N. T. and Mohan, M. 1976. Comparative effects of loose smut infection on morphological characters of dwarf and tall wheats. *Indian Phytopath.* 29: 66-67.

Deepshikha. 2005. Integrated management of loose smut of wheat: evaluation of genotypes for resistance and effect of some pesticides, bioagent and botanicals. *Ph. D Thesis., G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar (U.S. Nagar), Uttaranchal, India.* p213.

Dhaliwal, H. S. and Singh, D. V. 1988. Up-to-date life cycle of Neovossia indica (Mitra) Mundkur. 57(12): 675-677.

Edgington, L.V., Walton, G.S. and Miller, P.M. 1966. Fungicides selective for Basidiomycetes. *Science*. 153: 307-308.

Gill, K. S., Sharma, I. and Aujla, S. S. 1993. Karnal bunt and Wheat Production, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana. p153.

Goel, L. B., Singh, D. P., Sinha, V. C., Singh, A., Singh, K. P., Tewari, A. N., Beniwal, M. S., Karwasra, S. S., Aujla, S. S. and Grewal, A. S. 2001. Efficacy of Raxil (Tebuconazole) for controlling the loose smut of wheat caused by *Ustilago segetum* var. *tritici. Indian Phytopath.* 54(2): 270-271. Holderness, M. 1990. Control of vascular-streak dieback of cocoa with triazole fungicides and the problem of phytotoxicity. *Plant Pathol.* 39: 286-293.

Kumar, B. 2011. Management of blast disease of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) in mid-western Himalayas. *Indian Phytopath.* **64 (2)**: 154-158.

Kumar, B. 2013. Management of grain smut disease of barnyard millet (Echinochloa frumentacea). Indian Phytopath. 66(4): 403-405.

Leroux, P. and Berthier, G. 1988. Resistance to carboxin and fenfuram in *Ustilago Nuda* (Jens.) Rostr., the causal agent of barley loose smut. *Crop Protection*. **7(1)**: 16-19.

Martin, R.A. and Edgington, I.V. 1980. Effect of temperature on efficiency of triadimenol and fenapanil to control loose smut of barley. *Canadian J. Pl. Pathol.* 2: 201-204.

Mitra, M. 1931. A new bunt on wheat in India. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **18**: 178–179. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.1931.tb02294.x

Mundkur, B. B. 1943. Karnal bunt an air borne disease. Curr. Sci. 12: 230-231.

Muthukumar, A. and Udhayakumar, R. 2015. Bioefficacy studies of new fungicide molecules (Ridomil Gold 68%WP) against leaf spot and fruit spot/rot of pomegranate. *The Bioscan.* **10(4)**: 1859-1862.

Nagaraja, A., Kumar, B., Raguchander, T., Hota, A. K., Patro, T. S. S. K., Gowda, P. Devaraje, Ekka, S. and Gowda, M. V. C. 2012. Impact of disease management practices on finger millet blast and grain yield. *Indian Phytopath.* **65(4)**: 356-359.

Nene, Y. L., Saxena, S. C. and Srivastava, S. S. L. 1971. Influence of fungicidal treatment on the incidence of loose smut and rusts of wheat. *Pesticides*. 5(6): 11-14.

Nene, Y.L. and Thapliyal, P.N. 1979. Fungicides in Plant Disease Control. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Dehli, India. p507.

Paul, Y. S. 1996. Relative efficacy of seed dressing fungicides in the management of loose smut of wheat under varying levels of seed infection. *Indian J. Mycol. Plant Pathol.* 26(3): 301-302.

Pederson, M. 2007. Method of reducing phytotoxicity on plants susceptible to triazole fungicides. World Intellectual Property Organisation Publication Number WO/2007/028388 www.wipo.int/pctdb/en/wo.jsp?IA = DK2006000484&DISPLAY = DESC

Ram, B. and Singh, K. P. 2004. Smuts of wheat: A review. Indian Phytopath. 57(2): 125-134.

Rangwala, T., Bafna, A. and Singh, V. 2013. Effect of Presence of Fungicide on Growth Parameters of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Seedlings. J. Biol. Chem. Research. 30(2): 529-536.

Sahi, H. P. S., Charya, S. A. and Srivastava, M. P. 1985. Cost benefit ratio of Vitavax and Bavistin in controlling loose smut (*Ustilago trilici*) in wheat. *Pesticides*. **19(9)**: 31-32.

Sharma, A.K., Babu, K.S., Sharma, R.K. and Kumar, K. 2007. Effect of tillage practices on *Tilletia indica* Mitra (Karnal bunt disease of wheat) in a rice–wheat rotation of the Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Crop Protection*. **26**: 818–821.

Sharma, K.K., Singh, U.S., Sharma, P., Kumar, A. and Sharma, L. 2015. Seed treatments for sustainable agriculture-a review. J. Appl. & Nat. Sci. 7(1): 521-539.

Sharvelle, E.G. 1979. Plant Disease Control. AVI Publishing Co. Inc., Westport, CT., USA. p331.

Shivankar, S. K., Shivankar, R. S. and Nagone, A. H. 2000. Effect of fungicidal seed treatment on the germination, shoot and root length of wheat seed infected by black point disease. *Agric. Sci. Digest.* **20** (3): 205-206.

Singh, D. 1997. Integrated pest management for the loose smut disease of wheat. Indian Phytopathological Society-Golden Jubilee

International Conference on Integrated Plant Disease Management for Sustainable Agriculture. p. 391.

Singh, D. and Singh, A. 2013. Raxil 060 FS – A new seed dressing fungicide formulation for the control of flag smut and loose bunt of wheat. *Pl. Dis. Res.* 26 (2): 189.

Singh, D. V., Srivastava, K. D. and Aggarwal, R. 1998. Karnal bunt; Constraints to wheat production and management (*in*) *Bunts and Smuts of Wheat: An International Symposium,* pp 201–222.

Singh, P. J., Dhaliwal, H. S. and Gill, K. S. 1989. Chemical control of Karnal bunt (*Neovossia indica*) of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) by single spray of fungicides at heading. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 59(2): 131-133.

Sinha, V. C. and Singh, D. P. 1993. Raxil (Tebuconazole) in the control of loose smut of wheat. *Indian Phyotpath.* 46: 275.

Sinha, V. C. and Singh, D. P. 1996. Tebuconazole in the control of loose smut of wheat. Indian J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol. 26: 279-281.

Smilanick, J. L., Prescott, J. M., Hoffmann, J. A., Secrest, L. R. and Weise, K. 1989. Environmental effects on survival and growth of secondary sporidia and teliospores of *Tilletia indica*. *Crop Prot.* **8**(2): 86-90.

Srivastava, K. D., Singh, D. K., Agarwal, R., Bahadur, P. and Nagarajan, S. 1991. Control of loose smut of wheat with dichlopentazole. *Rachis*.

10(1): 32.

Swati, Prashant Goel, Sharma, R. and Srivastava, K. 2014. Impact of resistance in diminution of infection and quantitative losses caused by Karnal bunt (*Neovossia indica*) in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Bioscan.* **9(3)**: 1201-1205.

Tewari, A., Kakkar, S. and Singh, T. B. 1999. Control of loose smut of wheat by the dry and slurry seed treatment with Raxil 2DS. *Plant Dis. Res.* **14(2)**: 165-167.

Tomlin, C. D. S. 2000. The Pesticide Manual, 12th Ed. British Crop Protection Council, Farnham.

Vawdrey, L. L. 1994. Evaluation of fungicides and cultivars for control of gummy stem blight of rockmelon caused by *Didymella bryoniae*. *Australian J. Exp. Agr.* 34: 1191–1195.

Von Schmeling, B. and Kulka, M. 1966. Systemic fungicidal activity of 1,4-oxathiin derivatives. *Science*. 152: 659-660.

Warham, E. J., Prescott, J. M. and Griffiths, E. 1989. Effectiveness of chemical seed treatments in controlling Karnal bunt disease of wheat. *Plant Dis.* 73(7): 585-588.

Wiese, M. V. 1984. Compendium of wheat disease. 3rd Ed. The American Phytopathol. Soc. p. 106.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTALISTS ASSOCIATION

AND ITS OFFICIAL ORGAN



The Bioscan

An International Quarterly Journal of Life Science

Started in 1988, the National Environmentalists Association has been reorganized in 2006 and now is an association functioning with full vigour and new impetus to meet its objectives with the co-operation of like minded environment conscious academicians from different parts of the nation.

MEMBERSHIP OF THE ASSOCIATION

Any graduate having interest in environmental conservation and protection of nature and natural resources can be the member of the association.

To be the member of the association the application form given below should be duly filled up and sent to the Secretary of the association along with a demand draft of Rs. 750/- (After the 25% concession) for annual membership and Rs. 7500/- (After the 25% concession) for life membership.

FELLOWSHIP OF THE ASSOCIATION

The Association is awarding FELLOWSHIP to deserving academicians / researchers /scientists who are LIFE MEMBERS of the Association after reviewing their biodata by the Fellows and the Executive Members of the association. The Fellows are privileged to write **F.N.E.A.** after their names .The prestigious Fellowship also includes a citation in recognition of their contribution to society in general and the endeavour for the noble cause of environment in particular.

AWARDS OF THE ASSOCIATION

The Association in its Seminars and Conferences provides the following category of awards on annual basis.

- **1.** The young scientists award : It is given to the researchers below the age of 35 years.
- **2.** The senior scientists award : It is awarded to the academicians above the age of 35 years.

- 3. **The best paper award**: It is awarded to the contributor of the Journal **The Bioscan** during the year.
- 4. **The best paper presentation award** : It is awarded to the scholar whose presentation is the best other than the young scientist category.
- 5. **The best oration award** : It is awarded to the scholar who delivered invited speech.
- 6. The recognition award : It is awarded to those senior scholars who have contributed to the subject through their continued research .
- 7. The environmental awareness award : It is awarded to those who, apart from their research contribution, have done commendable extension work for environmental betterment.

The number of recipients of award in each category will vary depending upon the recommendation of the panel of judges and the executive committee. The association has the provision to institute awards in the name of persons for whom a with desired sum is donated in consultation with the executive body.

PUBLICATION OF THE ASSOCIATION

In order to provide a platform to a vast group of researchers to express their views and finding of research as well as to promote the attitude of quality research among the scholars of younger generation the association publishes an international quarterly journal – **THE BIOSCAN (ISSN:0973-7049).** For the benefit of the potential contributors **instructions to authors** is given separately in this journal. However, the details regarding the journal and also the association can be seen on our website *www.thebioscan.in*.

Cont. P. 046